

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/864,718	718 05/23/2001		Jiren Gu	30660/205648	2799	
26959	7590	04/05/2004		EXAMINER		
MONIQU	MONIQUE A. MORNEAULT				NAKARANI, DHIRAJLAL S	
311 S. WA	CKER DR	IVE			·	
53RD FLO	53RD FLOOR				PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6622				1773		

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/864,718 GU, JIREN Examiner **Art Unit** 1773 D. S. Nakarani

## **Advisory Action**

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ation in
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whicheve event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension of the fee. The appr	ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or s issues for appeal; and/or	implifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claim	าร.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	Lamandanest
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	amenoment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	e newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered a explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: None.	
Claim(s) objected to: None.	
Claim(s) rejected: 25,26,28-35 and 37-43.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-24	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
D. S. Nakarani	w
Primary Examiner	

<u> Art Unit: 1773</u>

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 25, line 7, the added limitation "in the absence of an adhesive tie layer" raises issue that would require further consideration under 35 USC 112, first and/or second paragraph(s).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: There is nothing on record showing that the article of present invention is different than the article of prior art.

D. S. NAKARANI PRIMARY EXAMINER